UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTR	RICT CALIFORNIA
SHARON HANEY Plaintiff, ProSe V. HUNT & HENRIQUES Attorneys at Law Defendant CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA) N.A. Co-Defendant) SACV10-659 AG (ANx) Case No: Trial By Jury Demanded

)

PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT OF CLAIM STATEMENT UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Sharon Haney.

At all times hereinafter mentioned, The Plaintiff was and still is a resident of Orange County

State of California. Thus establishing the jurisdiction of this Court and is conferred by 15 U.S.C.

§1681p, 15 U.S.C. §1692k.

From here on Sharon Haney, will be known as the Plaintiff.

Plaintiff respectfully submits Plaintiff's Statement of Claim and Statement Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM

The Defendant, Hunt & Henriques, Attorneys at Law is a 3rd party debt collectors located at 151 Bernal Road, Suite 8, San Jose, CA, 95119-1306, as such is governed under the law by The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 USC Section § 1601, et seq. The Co-Defendant Citibank (South Dakota) N.A. ("Citibank") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the



State of South Dakota, with its principal place of business at 701 E. 60th St N, Sioux Falls, South 24 Dakota 57117-1251. Citibank does business in California and in the county of Orange. Citibank 25 may be served with process at 701 E 60th St N, Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57117-1251. Co-26 Defendant Citibank (South Dakota) N.A. is a credit lender and as such governed under the law by 27 The Fair Credit Reporting Act 1 5 USC Section § 1681, et seq. and reports accounts to the 28 national credit reporting agencies i.e. Trans Union, Equifax and Experian and Innovis all national 29 30 credit reporting agencies. The State of California abides by and adheres to these laws. Specifically The Fair Credit 31 Reporting Act 15 USC Section § 1681, et seq. and The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 32 USC Section § 1601, et seq. The Defendants are governed under these laws. 33 34 The Plaintiff denies ever having any contractual agreement for credit, loans or services 35 36 relationship with the Defendants. Even if the Plaintiff did have such an agreement, which Plaintiff denies, the alleged account 37 is not in question here. But the fact as to how it was or was not validated and wrongful 38 actions of the Defendants in an attempt to collect and credit reporting of the alleged debt, 39 violated the civil rights of the Plaintiff and the law as outlined in The Fair Debt Collection 40 Practices Act 15 USC Section § 1601, et seq. and The Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 USC 41 Section § 1681, et sea. 42 On or about November 16, 2009, the Plaintiff received a letter from the Defendant Hunt & 43 Henriques demanding payment of an alleged account. The Plaintiff contacted the Defendant on 44 November 30, 2009, via the US Postal Service, Certified Mail # 7009 1410 0002 2979 7125, 45 which was received by the Defendant on December 2nd, 2009. In that written correspondence, 46 the Plaintiff disputed the alleged debt and demanded that the Defendant Hunt & Henriques 47 validate the alleged debt by providing strict proof of the alleged indebtedness. To date, the 48 Plaintiff has not received any response from Defendant pursuant to her request for validation, yet 49 debt collection activity from the Defendant has continued. 50 51 Count I:

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

The Defendant has violated Section 809, Validation of debts [15 USC 1692g] of the FDCPA by not providing Proof of the alleged debt as requested by the Plaintiff's letter of November 30, 2009, and by continuous collection activity prior to validation of the debt. § 809. Validation of debts 15 USC 1692g (b) If the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period described in subsection (a) that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor, the debt collector shall cease collection of the debt, or any disputed portion thereof, until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt or any copy of a judgment, or the name and address of the original creditor, and a copy of such verification or judgment, or name and address of original creditor, is mailed to the consumer by debt collector. The Defendant Hunt & Henriques has failed to cease collection and has failed to validate the alleged debt. Plaintiff demands judgment for \$1,000.00 for each of the above violations \$2,000.00 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 66 hereinabove. **Count II** Overshadowing 1996 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22555, * DEBRA TYCHEWICZ, Plaintiff, v. RICHARD DOBBERSTEIN d/b/a CREDIT ASSOCIATED, Defendant, 96-C-0195-S The Plaintiff has 30 days to respond to this alleged account and the statement "contact us at 1 (800) 496-5051 and arrange a payment plan" indicated that the Plaintiff must contact them immediately as opposed to the 30 days as allowed by law. Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of \$1,000.00 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 67 through 75 hereinabove. **Count III** Plaintiff notified the Defendant Hunt & Henriques in her letter dated November 30, 2009, sent via US Postal Service, Certified Mail # 7009 1410 0002 2979 7125, which was received by the Defendant on December 2nd, 2009, that it was extremely inconvenient for her to receive phone calls at her place of employment or at her home. The Defendant repeatedly violated 15 USC 1692 d

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

A debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section: Causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number. Plaintiff has received several phone calls from Defendant Hunt & Henrique's asking to kindly return this call by calling 800 496-5051. The Plaintiff has phone logs where Defendant called Plaintiff on February 9, 2010, February 16, 2010, March 5, 2010, March 10, 2010, March 17, 2010, March 29, 2010, April 06, 2010, April 25, 2010, after Defendant was notified it was inconvenient for Plaintiff to receive calls at her home. Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of \$1000.00. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 76 through 93 hereinabove. **Count IV** § 807. False or misleading representations 15 USC 1692e A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section: (5) The threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken. On or about April 12, 2010, Plaintiff received from Defendant Hunt & Henriques a letter stating "Our firm has filed suit against you." To date the Defendant has not validated the alleged debt. The Defendant is required by § 809. Validation of debts 15 USC 1692g (b) If the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period described in subsection (a) that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, or that the consumer requests the name and address of the original creditor, the debt collector shall cease collection of the debt, or any disputed portion thereof, until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt or any copy of a judgment, or the name and address of the original creditor, and a copy of such verification or judgment, or name and address of original creditor, is mailed to the consumer by debt collector. As the Defendant has never provided any competent evidence or legal validation, the Defendant falsely and deceptively is pursuing the collection of an alleged debt.

112 Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of \$1000.00. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 94 through 113 hereinabove. 113 114 Count V On October 27, 2009, Plaintiff after obtaining copies of her TransUnion, Experian, and Equifax 115 credit report noticed Co-Defendant Citibank (South Dakota) N.A., was reporting derogatory, 116 117 erroneous, and inaccurate information in her credit report. The Plaintiff sent letters to all three (3) credit agencies via US Postal Service Certified Mail Return Receipt disputing the derogatory, 118 119 erroneous, and inaccurate information. TransUnion, Experian, and Equifax confirmed they were reporting the alleged accounts correctly as all three credit agencies stated they contacted 120 each source directly. 121 On or about January 26, 2010, after Plaintiff obtained copies of her TransUnion, Experian, and 122 Equifax credit report once again notice Co-Defendant Citibank (South Dakota) N.A., still 123 124 reporting derogatory, erroneous, and inaccurate information in her credit report. The Plaintiff 125 sent the Co-Defendant a letter via US Postal Service Certified Mail Return Receipt #7009 2820 0002 3023 7993, which the Co-Defendant received on January 28, 2010, disputing the 126 127 derogatory information and the alleged debt. As of today, Co-Defendant Citibank (South Dakota)NA has not responded with proof of any alleged account and has continued to report 128 derogatory, erroneous, and inaccurate information in the Plaintiff's TransUnion, Experian, and 129 Equifax credit reports and is updating reports monthly. The Co-Defendant is required to notify 130 131 the Major Credit Reporting Agencies that the alleged accounts are in dispute, which the Co-132 Defendant has not done. Reporting erroneous and inaccurate information. 133 VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT 134 According to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, section 623. Responsibilities of furnishers of 135 information to consumer reporting agencies [15U.S.C. §1681s-2]: 136 137 (a) Duty of furnishers of information to provide accurate information. (1) Prohibition. 138 (A) Reporting information with actual knowledge of errors. A person shall not furnish any 139 140 information relating to a consumer to any consumer-reporting agency if the person knows or

141 consciously avoids knowing that the information is inaccurate. (B) Reporting information after notice and confirmation of errors. A person shall not furnish 142 143 information relating to a consumer to any consumer-reporting agency if 144 (i) the person has been notified by the consumer, at the address specified by the person for such notices, that specific information is inaccurate: and 145 146 (ii) the information is, in fact, inaccurate. 147 (2) Duty to correct and update information, A person who 148 (A) regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about the person's transactions or experiences with any consumer; 149 150 and (B) has furnished to a consumer reporting agency information that the person determines is not 151 complete or accurate, shall promptly notify the consumer reporting agency of that 152 determination and provide to the agency any corrections to that information, or any 153 additional information, that is necessary to make the information provided by the person to the 154 agency complete and accurate, and shall not thereafter furnish to the agency any of the 155 156 information that remains not complete or accurate. (3) Duty to provide notice of dispute. If the completeness or accuracy of any information 157 furnished by any person to any consumer reporting agency is disputed to such person by 158 a consumer, the person may not furnish the information to any consumer reporting 159 160 agency without notice that such information is disputed by the consumer. 161 (b) Duties of furnishers of information upon notice of dispute. (1) In general. After receiving notice pursuant to section 611(a)(2) [§ 16811] of a dispute 162 with regard to the completeness or accuracy of any information provided by a person to a 163 164 consumer reporting agency, the person shall (A) conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information; 165 (B) review all relevant information provided by the consumer reporting agency pursuant to 166 167 section 611(a)(2) [§ 1681 i]; (C) report the results of the investigation to the consumer reporting agency; and 168 (D) if the investigation finds that the information is incomplete or inaccurate, report those results 169 170 to all other consumer reporting agencies to which the person furnished the

171 information and that compile and maintain files on consumers on a nationwide basis. (2) Deadline, A person shall complete all investigations, reviews, and reports required 172 under paragraph (1) regarding information provided by the person to a consumer 173 reporting agency, before the expiration of the period under section 611 (a)(1) [§ 1681 i] 174 within which the consumer reporting agency is required to complete actions required by 175 that section regarding that information. 176 The Co- Defendant has reported this alleged account to all three bureaus since October 2009 and 177 has updated this alleged account for a period of eight months in all three bureaus with erroneous 178 179 and inaccurate information through today as they have not provided validation of the alleged 180 debt/account. 181 Plaintiff demands judgment for \$24,000.00 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 114 through 182 hereinabove. 182 Count VI 183 184 Failure to mark the account in dispute 185 According to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, section 623. Responsibilities of furnishers of information to consumer reporting agencies [15U.S.C.§1681s-2]: 186 (a) Duty of furnishers of information to provide accurate information. 187 188 (1) Prohibition. (A) Reporting information with actual knowledge of errors. A person shall not furnish any 189 190 information relating to a consumer to any consumer-reporting agency if the person knows or 191 consciously avoids knowing that the information is inaccurate. (B) Reporting information after notice and confirmation of errors. A person shall not furnish 192 information relating to a consumer to any consumer-reporting agency if 193 194 (i) the person has been notified by the consumer, at the address specified by the 195 person for such notices, that specific information is inaccurate: and (ii) the information is, in fact, inaccurate. 196 (2) Duty to correct and update information. A person who 197 198 (A) regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to one or more 199 consumer reporting agencies about the person's transactions or experiences with any consumer; 200 and

(B) has furnished to a consumer reporting agency information that the person determines is not
complete or accurate, shall promptly notify the consumer reporting agency of that determination
and provide to the agency any corrections to that information, or any additional information, that
is necessary to make the information provided by the person to the agency complete and
accurate, and shall not thereafter furnish to the agency any of the information that remains not
complete or accurate.
(3) Duty to provide notice of dispute. If the completeness or accuracy of any
information furnished by any person to any consumer reporting agency is
disputed to such person by a consumer, the person may not furnish the
information to any consumer reporting agency without notice that such
information is disputed by the consumer.
The Co-Defendant in regards to the Experian, TransUnion, and Equifax credit reports of
Plaintiff does not reflect that the information is disputed by the consumer
even though the Plaintiff has sent a letter of validation/dispute to the
Co-Defendant and to date the Co- Defendant has not responded. The Co-Defendant
has reported this account to all three national credit reporting agencies since October 2009 and
has updated same for a period of eight months in all three agencies through today.
Plaintiff demands judgment for \$24,000.00
Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 183 through 219 hereinabove.
Count VII
Co-Defendant also violated under the Fair Credit Reporting Act Section 1681n.
Civil Liability for willful noncompliance
Any consumer reporting agency or <u>user</u> of information which willfully fails to comply with any
requirement imposed under this title with respect to any consumer is liable to the consumer in an
amount equal to the sum of—
(1) Any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of the failure;
(2) Such amount of punitive damages as the court may allow; and
(3) In the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the costs of
the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court.

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

Under the Fair Credit Reporting Act Section 1681o. Civil liability for negligent noncompliance Any consumer reporting agency or <u>user</u> of information which willfully fails to comply with any requirement imposed under this title with respect to any consumer is liable to that consumer in an amount equal to the sum of-(1) Any actual damages sustained by the consumer as a result of the failure; (2) In the case of any successful action to enforce any liability under this section, the costs of the action together with reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the court. Plaintiff demands judgment for punitive damages of \$30,000.00 Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 220 through 239 hereinabove. The Plaintiff has contacted by certified US Mail all three national credit reporting agencies in these matters Experian, Equifax, and Trans Union with letters of dispute and they have responded that they are reporting this information correctly as provided by Co-Defendant Citibank (South Dakota)NA. The Co-Defendant's have damaged the Plaintiffs Credit Report, Credit Score and have committed Defamation of Character PerSe' against the Plaintiff. WHEREFORE, the Defendant and Co-Defendants have violated The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, and The Fair Credit Reporting Act, Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of \$83,000.00, plus all costs of this action along with punitive damages in the amount of \$150,000.00, or as the court may allow along with Private Attorney General fees of \$3000.00 as prescribed by law Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F.2d 107, 113 (3d Cir. 1991), 15 U.S.C. sec. 1692k(a)(3),(see Zagorski v. Midwest Billing Services, Inc., F.3d---(1997 WL 695401, 7th Cir.) or 128 F. 3d 1164 (7th Cir., 1997). Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 240 through 252 hereinabove. The Plaintiff has tried every way possible to resolve these issues amicably but has not been replied to and has been ignored in these matters, thus leaving the Plaintiff no alternative but to seek relief through this Honorable Court. Statement Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted 1. A settlement agreement between the Plaintiff and the Defendants that the Defendants shall remove any derogatory information and inquires from all four major credit-reporting agencies, Trans Union, Equifax, Experian, and Innovis and any other known credit

260 reporting agencies the Defendants has used now or may use in the future. 2. Defendants must also provide a letter and or Universal Data Form indicating that they have 261 done this and send same to the Plaintiff. 262 3. The Defendants will be barred now or in the future from selling or transferring of the 263 alleged debt to any other collection agency or attorney or entity and also barred now and in 264 the future from re-entering this information into the Plaintiffs credit reports. 265 4. The Defendants must cease and desist any further collection activities against the Plaintiff 266 267 and the Defendants may not Sell or Transfer the alleged account to any other Collection 268 Agency or Attorney or entity now or in the future. 269 5. Payment of \$83,000.00 for their violations. 6. Private Attorney General Fees must be paid to the Plaintiff. 270 271 7. Damages as allowed by the Court. Respectfully submitted this 18th Day of May 2010. 272 Thorn Haney 273 274 Sharon Haney, Plaintiff 275 9 Seadrift 276 Irvine, CA 92604 949 861-8155 277 278 sharonhaney@sbcglobal.net

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the forgoing complaint/summons Haney vs. Hunt & Henriques, Attorneys at Law/Citibank (South Dakota) N.A., has been served upon the Defendants at their business address at, Hunt & Henriques, Attorneys at Law, 151 Bernal Road, Suite 8, San Jose, CA 95119-1306 (408 362-2270), Citibank (South Dakota)N.A. 701 E 60th St N, Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57117-1251, on or about 18th day of May 2010 with affidavit of service by U.S. Postal Service Certified Mail Return Receipt to follow to be submitted to the Clerk of the Court.

Sharon Haney 9 Seadrift

Irvine, CA 92604 949 861-8155

sharonhaney@sbcglobal.net

Case 8:10-c///NUISUSTACTES IDISTRICTION INT. CENTRAIS DISTRICT POR CALIFORNIA Page ID #:12 civil cover sheet

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) SHARON HANEY, ProSe'				DEFENDANTS HUNT & HENRIQUES - Defendant Attorneys at Law CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA) N.A Co-Defendant						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Adyourself, provide same.) Sharon Haney, Plaintiff, F 9 Seadrift, Irvine, CA 926 949 861-8155		ou are re	epresenting A	Attorneys (If Kno	own)		***************************************	en de autoria de la compansa de la c	- R.W. 13 A	
				ENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES - For Diversity Cases Only an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant.)						
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 2 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF DEF Citizen of This State				PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State			□2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		□ 5	□ 5
A. I			Citizen or Subject	t of a Foreign Co	ountry 🗆 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	e box only.)									
Original 2 Remove State C			nstated or 5	Transferred from	n another dis	trict (sp	Distr	ict Judg	eal to I ge from gistrate	1
	AINT: JURY DEMAND: Y	es □ N			=					
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23: ☐ Yes 👿 No		1 2 M	ONEY DEMAN	NDED IN CO	OMPLA	AINT: \$ 236,000.0	00		
	e the U.S. Civil Statute under whice Fair Debt Collection Practices Ac						ite jurisdictional sta	atutes unless div	ersity.))
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X in one box only.)									
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS	TOR		200	PRISONER	LAE		
 □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Info. Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 	□ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	310	Marine Product Liability Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle Product Liability Other Personal Injury Personal Injury- Med Malpractice Personal Injury- Product Liability Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability MIGRATION	□ 385 Prope Produ BANKRI □ 422 Appe: □ 158 □ 423 Withe USC CIVIL R □ 441 Votin □ 442 Emple □ 443 Housi □ 444 Welfa □ 445 Amer Disab Emple □ 446 Amer Disab Other ■ 440 Other Right:	ERTY r Fraud in Lending r Personal crty Damage erty Damage uct Liability UPTCY al 28 USC drawal 28 157 IGHTS ng oyment ing/Acco- dations are ican with bilities - oyment ican with bilities - Civil	☐ 510 ☐ 530 ☐ 535 ☐ 540 ☐ 550 ☐ 555 FC ☐ 610 ☐ 620 ☐ 625 ☐ 630 ☐ 640 ☐ 650	Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition ORFEITURE / PENALTY Agriculture Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety /Health	☐ 710 Fair La	Mgmt. ns Mgmt. ng & ng & y Labou abor on Ret. Inc y Act Y RIGH ghts ark ECURI 395ff) .ung (9 DIWW) ittle XV (5(g)) "AX SI U.S. Pl ndant) ird Par	t t r Act TTY (TY (23) //I UITS laintiff

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV 10 - 659 A6 (ANX)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 8:10-c**VNIDED STATE ADISTIBLE TO GOVERTI. CENTERADE DISTRICT DE GALIBORNIA** Page ID #:13

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has If yes, list case number(s):	this action been pr	eviously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? W No Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	any cases been pre	viously filed in this court that	at are related to the present case? W No 🗆 Yes				
□ C . 1	Arise from the same Call for determination For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction of the same or substantial ould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or , and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.				
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informati	on, use an additional sheet in	f necessary.)				
(a) List the County in this District; (Check here if the government, it	California County o s agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State i yees is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:* Orange County			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
(b) List the County in this District; C ☐ Check here if the government, it	California County o s agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
San Jose			Sioux Falls, S.D.				
(c) List the County in this District; (Note: In land condemnation ca			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Orange County							
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, use	dino, Riverside, Ve the location of the	entura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C	OR PRO PER):	Showing Honey	Date MAY 18, 2010				
or other papers as required by law	 This form, approv 	ed by the Judicial Conférence	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to Soc	cial Security Cases:	777					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	SSID	All claims for supplementa Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Andrew Guilford and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Arthur Nakazato.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV10-659 AG (ANx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central

	District of California, the Magistra notions.	ate Judge has been designated t	to he	ar discovery related
A	All discovery related motions show	ald be noticed on the calendar of	of the	e Magistrate Judge
=	=======================================	=======================================	==	
		NOTICE TO COUNSEL		
A co	ppy of this notice must be served with th I, a copy of this notice must be served o	e summons and complaint on all defe n all plaintiffs).	endan	ts (if a removal action is
Sub	sequent documents must be filed at the	following location:		
L	Western Division [X] 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 8:10-cv-00659-AG-AN Document 1 Name & Address:	Filed 05/18/10 Page 15 of 15 Page ID #:15					
Sharon Haney						
9 Seadrift						
Irvine, CA 92604						
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC						
	CASE NUMBER					
SHARON HANEY						
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV10-659 AG (ANx)					
HUNT & HENRIQUES	30					
Attorneys at Law						
CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA)N.A.	SUMMONS					
DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS T					
TO: DEFENDANT(S): HUNT & HENRIQUES, Atto	ornevs at Law					
CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA)N.A.	<i>S</i>					
A lawsuit has been filed against you.						
Within 21 days after service of this summor	ns on you (not counting the day you received it),					
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached v c	complaint 🗆 amended complaint					
□ counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1.						
or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Shappen 9 Seadrift, Irvine, CA 92604	aron Haney , whose address is . If you fail to do so,					
judgment by default will be entered against you for the r						
your answer or motion with the court.						
	Clerk, U.S. District Court					
	TRINA PTROSE					
Dated: 1 8 2010	Ву:					
Deputy Clark						
(Seal of the Control						
	1144					
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States	a against on it an officer or company of the United States. Allowed					
[Ose of days ty the defendant is the Onlied States of a Onlied States 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an officer or employee of the Onlied States. Allowed					

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)